

#### EUROPEAN HEALTH AND DIGITAL EXECUTIVE AGENCY (HaDEA)

Department A Health and Food Unit A2 EU4Health/SMP

Food Programmes for eradication, control and surveillance of animal diseases and zoonoses

#### submitted for obtaining EU financial contribution

# Annex II: Control programme – Reduction of prevalence of Salmonella serotypes in certain poultry populations

Member States seeking an EU financial contribution for national programmes for eradication, control and surveillance of animal diseases and zoonosis shall submit online this document completely filled out by the 31 May of the year preceding its implementation (part 2.1 of Annex I to the Single Market Programme Regulation).

#### If encountering difficulties:

- concerning the information requested, please contact <a href="https://habea.europa.eu"><u>HADEA-VET-PROG@ec.europa.eu</u></a>.
- on the technical point of view, please contact <u>SANTE-Bl@ec.europa.eu</u>, include in your message a printscreen of the complete window where the problem appears and the version of this pdf:

#### **Protection of Your Personal Data:**

For consultation about the processing and the protection of your personal data, please click to follow this link

#### Instructions to complete the form:

**Privacy Statement** 

- 1) You can attach documents (.docx, .xlsx, .pdf, etc) to complete your report. Using the button "Add attachments" on the last page of the form.
- 2) Before submitting this form, please use the button "Verify form" (bottom right of each page). If needed, complete your pdf document as indicated.
- 3) When you have finished completing this pdf document, save it on your computer.
- 4) Verify that your internet connection is active and then click on the "Submit notification" button and your pdf document will be sent to our server. A submission number will appear on your document. Save this completed document on your computer for your record.
- 5) For simplification purposes you are invited to submit multi-annual programmes.
- 6) You are invited to submit your programmes in English.

Document version number: 2022 1.0

Member state : MAGYARORSZAG	
Disease Salmonella	
Animal population Laying flocks of Gallus gallus	
This program is multi annual :	
Request of Union co-financing from beginning :	2023
1. Contact data	
Name	Phone
Email	Your job type within the CA:
	within the CA.

**Submission Date** 

**Submission Number** 

30/11/2022 13:44:25

1669812266143-18903

#### A. Technical information

By submitting this programme, the Member State (MS) attests that the relevant provisions of the EU legislation will be implemented during its entire period of approval, in particular:

- Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 on the control of *Salmonella* and other specified food-borne zoonotic agents,
- Regulation (EU) No 517/2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards a Union target for the reduction of the prevalence of Salmonella serotypes in laying hens of Gallus gallus,
- Regulation (EC) No 1177/2006 implementing Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 as regards requirements for the use of specific control methods in the framework of the national programmes for the control of *Salmonella* in poultry.

As a consequence, the following measures will be implemented during the whole period of the programme:

#### 1. Aim of the programme

It is to implement all relevant measures in order to reduce the prevalence of *Salmonella* Enteritidis and *Salmonella* Typhimurium (including the serotypes with the antigenic formula I,4,[5],12:i:-) in adult <u>laying</u> hens of *Gallus gallus* ('Union target') as follows:

An annual <u>minimum</u> percentage of reduction of positive flocks of adult laying hens equal to at least 10% where the prevalence in the preceding year was less than 10%.
An annual <u>minimum</u> percentage of reduction of positive flocks of adult laying hens equal to at least 20% where the prevalence in the preceding year was more than or equal to 10% and less than 20%.
A reduction of the ma <u>xim</u> um percentage equal to 2% or less of positive flocks of adult laying hens.
The Member States has less than 50 flocks of adult laying hens: the target is to have not more than one adult flock remaining positive.

The Union target sl	nall be achieved	every year	based on	the mon	itoring	of t	he
previous year.							
Comments(max. 32000 chars):							
2. The programme w	vill be implemente	ed on the <b>wh</b>	ole territ	<b>ory</b> of the	e MS.		
⊠ <i>yes</i>	□no						
If No, please explain:							
3. Flocks subject to	o the programm	ie					
The programme of apply to flock the producer, of stretail establishmen	s for private dom small quantities o ents directly supp	estic use or l f table eggs lying the egg	leading to to the fina is to the fi	the direct I consume nal consur	supply, er or to mer.	, by	
For the latter case Salmonella contro The programme of	ol in these flocks.						
⊠yes	□no						
If No, please explain :							
A to be an entire to the control of							

	Total number of flocks of layers in the MS	Number of flocks covered by the programme	Number of flocks where FBO sampling shall take place	Number of flocks where official sampling will take place
Rearing flocks	200		200	0
Adult flocks	1 100	1 100	1 100	300
Number of holdings with more than 1,000 laying hens				300
Number of flocks in these holdings				600
NB : All cells shall be filled in with the best estimation available.				

	Number of holdings with mo	re than 1,000 laying hens	300	
	Number of flocks in these ho	oldings	600	
	NB : All cells shall be f	illed in with the best estimation available.		
Comments	s (max. 32000 chars) :			'
4. No	otification of th	ne detection of target Salmone	<i>lla</i> serovars	
th bu th th re	ne relevant <i>Salm</i> usiness operator ne laboratory pe ne presence of	place which guarantees that the conella serotypes during sampling (FBO) is notified without delay to rforming the analyses. Timely no any of the relevant Salmone he food business operator and the	at the initiant the compet tification of the cella serotype	ative of the food ent authority by the detection of es remains the
l	⊠yes	□no		
if no, pled	ase explain :			
5. Bi	osecurity meas	sures		
FE	30s have to imp	ement measures to prevent the co	ontamination	of their flocks.
	<b>⊠</b> yes	□no		

if no, please explain. If yes, please describe the biosecurity measures that shall be applied, quote the document describing them (if any) (max. 32000 chars):

Besides employees and entrants for temporary activities holdings with a great number of animals shall only be entered by the owner and operator of the holding and the official and supplying veterinarian observing the preventive epidemiological Health Codes. Visitors shall only be admitted to the holding after disinfection of hand-and footwear, changing of clothes or putting on protection footwear, cap and overcoat, and exclusively under the surveillance of the veterinarian supplying the holding. The name and address of the visitor and the time of the visit shall be recorded in the record book of the holding. In danger of epidemic holdings shall not be visited.

Objects liable to transmit infection or vehicles necessary for the supply and operation of the holding exclusively shall be admitted to the holding after disinfected.

The pasturing, feeding and watering of animals shall be carried out in harmony with the animal health Health Codes. Feeding shall only take place with feedingstuffs that will not endanger the life of animals or - indirectly - the life of humans.

On large holdings the nourishment, the agricultural produces for nourishment, the treatment and storing of those, other materials used for manufacturing feed mixtures, the method of production, the holding and the undertaking producing feedingstuffs shall be continuously supervised by the veterinary supplying the holding.

Should the owner or the veterinary supplying the holding find that the feedingstuff does not comply with the stipulated animal health requirements it shall be the responsibility of the veterinarian to inform the about the findings simultaneously prohibiting that the feedingstuff be utilised, produced at and circulated from the premises until further notice

The quality of water for the watering of animals shall be — as far as possible — one of drinking water. Providing the quality and clinical examination of water (once in a year or in case of necessity), the maintenance and disinfection of wells, pipes and the watering equipment and the diversion of surplus water is the responsibility of the keeper or operator.

On large holdings employees shall possess a valid sanitary licence, be verified to have attended and passed the examination of labour aptitude.

Information and assessment on bio-security measures management and infrastructure in place in the flocks/holdings involved:

Hungary has relevant guidelines for good animal husbandry practices or other guidelines (mandatory or voluntary) on biosecurity measures defining the hygiene management at farms, the measures preventing incoming infections carried by animals, feed, drinking water, people working at farms, and about hygiene in transporting animals to and from farms. The guideline of Decree No. 2/2008. (I. 4.) of the Minister of Agriculture and Rural Development was repealed and replaced by the new guideline for the Decree no. 180/2009. (XII. 29.) of MARD (on specific rules of protection against salmonellosis).

In addition, the other relevant guidelines are the guideline of Food and Feed Safety Directorate about the slaughter of infected flocks, the Hungarian Poultry Product Board's guideline for good practice, the guideline which is applicable in the case of food poisoning and the guideline about the methods of disinfection.

All farms have to draw up own biosecurity programme and all have to get checked by the Directorate of Food Chain Safety and Animal Health of County Government Office. Before the colonization of the farm the FBO has to give in an epidemiological action plan (included biosecurity measures) to the CA. If the plan is correct, the CA authorises the colonization.

Inspections are performed based on a national program. Controls are planned annually by the System

Management and Supervision Directorate of the National Food Chain Safety Office. Number of controls depends on risk assessment. The inspections of farms are made by the CA supported by harmonized checklists. The results of the inspections are uploaded to a central database.

If the official vet notices any incompliance in biosecurity during the sampling, draws the attention of the problems and calls for action to correct the GHP.

Large holdings means: have at least 500 adult Gallus gallus

- -have a epidemiological action plan
- -workers have a sanitary license (in other holding have to have it too, if produce direct for human consumption)
- -can be only limited accessed by the owner, operators, veterian, workers (with biosecurity measures)
- -have records about the animals species, ages, numbers, population, animals place of origin, date of purchase, birth, death, sales, slaughter, medical treatments
- -have veterinary care, anti-parasitosis treatments, animals have to be free from diseases, which are in the national eradication programs

#### 6. Minimum sampling requirements for food business operators (FBO):

Samples at the initiative of the FBOs will be taken and analysed to test for the target *Salmonella* serovars respecting the following minimum sampling requirements:

- a. Rearing flocks: day-old chicks, two weeks before moving to laying phase or laying unit
- b. Adults laying flocks: every 15 weeks during the laying period

⊠yes	□no	
	te also who takes the FBO samples, and, if additional FBO sampling, going beyond tents, is performed, please describe what is done.	:he
The samples are taken b	private veterinary licenced with official rights.	
<b>7. Samples are</b> Regulation (El	taken in accordance with provisions of point 2.2 of Annex No 517/2011	to
⊠yes	□no	
if no, please explain :		

- **8. Specific requirements** laid down in Annex II.D of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 will be complied with where relevant. In particular:
  - due to the presence or the suspicion of the presence of SE or ST (including monophasic ST I,4,[5],12:i:-) in the flock, eggs cannot be used for human consumption unless heat treated;
  - eggs from these flocks shall be marked and considered as class B eggs.

□yes	⊠no
------	-----

if no, please explain - Indicate also if prompt depopulation of the infected flocks is compulsory.

If a flock is infected with SE or ST (including monophasic ST 1,4,[5],12:i-), all birds (rearing or adults) are slaughtered or killed and destroyed, and all eggs are destroyed.

9.If birds from flocks infected with SE or ST are slaughtered, please describe the measures that shall be implemented by the FBO and the CA to ensure that fresh poultry meat meet the relevant **EU microbiological criteria** (row 1.28 of Chapter 1 of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005): absence of SE/ST in 5 samples of 25g:

#### Measures implemented by the FBO (farm level)

As soon as the NRL confirms the infection, the flock shall be sent to isolated slaughter.

After emptying the relevant holding operators are required to implement proper cleansing and desinfection. Effectiveness of the procedure is controlled by the competent regional animal health authority. Restocking is only authorised, when cleansing and desinfection is deemed to be satisfactory.

#### Measures implemented by the FBO (slaughterhouse level)

Meat originating from such flocks may only be authorised for human consumption after meeting all relevant food safety requirements as regards of the Regulation (EC) No. 2160/2003. Annex II. Point E.

#### Measures implemented by the CA (farm and slaughterhouse level)

If the flocks are positive, there will be subject to movement control.

In the slaughterhouse is taken neckskin samples from every infected flocks.

If the sample is positive the actions are: Improvement in slaughter hygiene and review of process
controls, origin of animals and biosecurity measures in the farms of origin

10. Laboratories in which samples (official and FBO samples) collected within this

		analysed are accredited to ISO 17025 standard and ds for Salmonella detection is within the scope of t	
	⊠yes	□no	
If no,	please explain :		
111	serovars is the of 200/2010 i.e. An of food and animal salmonella spp. animal faeces as stage'.	methods used for the detection of the target Salmor one defined in Part 3.2 of the Annex of Regulation (EU) nendment 1 of EN/ISO 6579-2002/Amd1:2007. `Microbion nal feeding stuffs - Horizontal method for the detection Amendment 1: Annex D: Detection of Salmonella spond in environmental samples from the primary production.	No logy n of o. in
	⊠yes	□no	
If no p	lease explain.		
		en on behalf of the FBO alternative methods if validated he most recent version of EN/ISO16140 may be used.	d in
	□yes	⊠no	

If no please explain.
In Hungary the laboratories approved by the NRL don't used alternative methods.
12. Samples are transported and stored in accordance with point 3.1 of the Anne to Regulation (EU) No 517/2011. In particular, samples examination shall sta in the laboratory within 4 days after sampling.
13. Please describe the <b>official controls at feed level</b> (including sampling).

#### Comments (max. 32000 chars):

In Hungary, control of feedingstuffs is performed according to four main pieces of legislation: Act No. XLVI. of 2008 on the food chain and its official control, Governmental Decree 22/2012 (II. 29.) on the National Food Chain Safety Office and Decree of the Minister of Rural Development No. 65/2012 (VII. 4.) on the implementation of the above Act, and Decree of the Minister of Rural Development No 44/2003 (IV. 26.) on the compulsory provisions of Codex Pabularis Hungaricus.

In the Act general principles of the control of feed are laid down and it sets the competent authorities and allocates the tasks to these services.

Feed production plants are authorized by the competent regional organ: County Directorates of Food Chain Safety and Animal Health of the County Government Offices. Other authorities are also involved in the authorization process.

The registration of the feed production units is done by the District offices.

The Act states that the feedingstuffs produced may neither pose a direct health risk to live flock, nor an indirect risk to public health.

Therefore, the competent District office perform regular controls of the feed production plants, including the production, keeping, marketing, transport and use of feed produced. Controls also include compliance with feed hygiene rules, safety, composition, microbiological safety of feedingstuffs, as well as many other parameters such as the presence of prohibited substances, packaging, labelling etc. In case of non-compliance with any of the parameters listed in the Act and the Decrees, the competent District office may prohibit the production, keeping, marketing, transport, export, import or use of the relevant feed.

Feed business operators have a procedure based on the principles of HACCP which is operated in their establishment. In Hungary the limit for Salmonella is 0/25g in feed, which has to be applied to the feed materials and the compound feed for all species as well. If an examined feed is positive for Salmonella, there are targeted investigations from feed as well as carried out the decontamination of feed and equipments with heat treatment and application of biocides. (REGULATION (EC) No 183/2005, Article 6)

#### 14. Official controls at holding, flock and hatchery level

a. Please describe the official checks concerning the **general hygiene provisions** (Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 852/2004) including checks on biosecurity measures, and consequences in case of unsatisfactory outcome.

#### (max. 32000 chars):

Hungary has relevant guidelines for good animal husbandry practices or other guidelines (mandatory or voluntary) on biosecurity measures defining the hygiene management at farms, the measures preventing incoming infections carried by animals, feed, drinking water, people working at farms, and about hygiene in transporting animals to and from farms. The guideline for the Decree no. 180/2009. (XII. 29.) of MARD (on specific rules of protection against salmonellosis).

In addition, the other relevant guidelines are the guideline of Food and Feed Safety Directorate about the slaughter of infected flocks, the Hungarian Poultry Product Board's guideline for good practice, the guideline which is applicable in the case of food poisoning and the guideline about the methods of disinfection. These guidelines are available in the attachments.

All farms have to draw up own biosecurity programme and all have to get checked by CA of Food Chain Safety and Animal Health of County.

Before the colonization of the farm the FBO has to give in an epidemiological action plan (included biosecurity measures) to the CA. If the plan is correct, the CA authorises the colonization. Employees are permitted to enter the large scale holdings only obeying the biosecurity rules supervised by the private vet. The preventive epidemiological Health Codes are checked by the official vet regurarly. Visitors shall only be admitted to the holding after disinfection of hand and footwear, changing of clothes or putting on protection footwear, cap and overcoat, and exclusively under the surveillance of the private veterinarian of the holding. The name and address of the visitor and the time of the visit shall be recorded. If the area is under veterinary restriction, the holdings are closed to all visitors. Objects liable to transmit infection and vehicles necessary for the supply and operation of the holding shall be admitted to the holding exclusively after disinfection.

Inspections are performed based on a national program. Controls are planned annually by the System Management and Supervision Directorate of the National Food Chain Safety Office. Number of controls depends on risk assessment. The inspections of farms are made by the CA supported by harmonized checklists. The results of the inspections are uploaded to a central database.

If the official vet notices any incompliance in biosecurity during the sampling, draws the attention of the problems and calls for action to correct the GHP.

	me: EU minimum requirements are
implemented i.e. official sampl	ing are performed:
■ in one flock per year per hole	ding comprising at least 1,000 birds;
■ at the age of 24 +/- 2 weeks the relevant Salmonella was de	s in laying flocks housed in buildings where etected in the preceding flock;
borne outbreaks in accordance any cases where the competent the sampling protocol laid dow	almonella infection when investigating foode with Article 8 of Directive 2003/99/EC or authority considers it appropriate, using n in point 4(b) of Part D to Annex II to
Salmonella Typhimurium is de	he holding in case Salmonella Enteritidis or tected in one laying flock on the holding; nt authority considers it appropriate.
⊠yes □no	
If no, please explain Indicate also 1)if additional off performed give a description of what is done 2)who is ta	ficial sampling going beyond EU minimum requirements is king the official samples
The official samples are taken by official veterinary of official rights), in accordance with Annex of Regulat	* *
	<b>ng</b> (in addition to the confirmatory samples ystematically performed if FBO or official tchery):
After positive official samples at the	Always
holding	Sometimes (criteria apply)
	Never

After positive FBO samples at the holding	Always Sometimes (criteria apply)
	Never
When official confirmatory samp taken for checking the presence	oling is performed, additional samples are see of antimicrobials:
Always Sometii	mes Never

Please insert any comments. Describe the criteria used to determine if confirmatory sampling is performed. Indicate also which samples (if any) are taken to check the presence of antimicrobials.

If there is an SE/ST positive sample in the holding taken by the FBO, the FBO can ask in writing the confirmatory sapling taken by the official veterinary from the County CA. The FBO has to justify, why is it necessary the confirmatory sampling (for example: the cooling was not right during the transport to the lab, the day-old chicken sample was collected from the transport vehicle, wich was'nt right cleaning). There are no routine basis and definite criteria for ordering the confirmatory sampling. The County CA takes the decision of ordering the confirmatory sampling in consultation with the NRL and with the NFCSO. The confirmatory sampling can be ordered only in case of failure of sampling procedure, transport or testing of sample.

Eggs originating from flocks with unknown health status are under movement restriction as long as the result of the sampling of the flock arrives. If the laboratory result is positive for SE/ST, the eggs are to be distributed only after heat treatment. Without heat treatment the eggs are to be destroyed.

The CA does not lift restrictions in any case as mentioned in Annex II.D.4.b. before the favourable result of confirmatory sampling is available. See pont 4./ Article 12

1	2	3	4
For routine samples taken at the holding	positive to SE / ST	column 2, No of cases	Out of the cases in column 3, No of cases where confirmatory samples were negative
FBO samples <sup>1</sup>	0	0	0
Official samples <sup>2</sup>	12	0	0

- <sup>1</sup>Reg 517/2011, point 2.2.1 of the Annex
- <sup>2</sup> Reg 517/2011, point 2.2.2 of the Annex
- <sup>3</sup> Reg 2160/2003, point II.D.4 of the Annex

, ,	als?) (max. 32000 chars):
	d. Article 2 of Regulation (EC) No 1177/2006 (antimicrobials shall not be used as a specific method to control <i>Salmonella</i> in poultry): please describe the official controls implemented (documentary checks,
	samples please describe the samples taken, the analytical method used, the result of the tests.

#### Comments - Describe also if any other measures are implemented(max. 32000 chars) :

Regulation (EC) No 1177/2006.

The accompanying of sample is available in website of National Food Chain Safety Office. On this document has to indicated inter alia the usage of antibiotics in the last 2 weeks. If the confirmatory test is permissed, the official vet takes at least 5 birds per house from all house of the holding to testing for antimicrobials or bacterial growth inhibitors. If the test is positive for antimicrobials or bacterial growth inhibitors, it is considered infected flock.

The sampling of detecting antimicrobials in organs (liver and muscles) is taken in two cases: 1. In case of confirmatory sampling, 2. In case of the sample missing the normal intestinal flora. The use of antimicrobials in the treatment diary of the FBO is checked by the CA based on a checklist. In Hungary there is an annual monitoring plan included checking antimicrobials in fresh poultry meat, eggs and egg products.

products.			
15. Salmonella vaccination			
Voluntary			
Compulsory			
Forbidden			
Use of Salmonella vaccines is i	in compliance	with provisions	of Article 3 of

$\boxtimes$ yes	$\square$ no

*If no, please explain.* - If performed please describe the vaccination scheme (vaccines used, vaccines providers, target flocks, number of doses administered per bird, etc) (max. 32000 chars):

In Hungary mainly used attennuated live vaccines against Salmonella Enteritidis or Typhimurium, wich can be isolated from wild strains. The NRL always checks the strain SE or ST is a wild-type or vaccine strain. The vaccination with live vaccines maily used twice in the rearing phase. In the product phase of birds not used live vaccines in accordance with provisions of Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 1177/2006. Using of inactivated vaccines is less than 5 %. In Hungary using live salmonella vaccines in laying hens during production phase is forbidden.

16. System for **compensation to owners** for the value of their birds slaughtered or culled and the eggs destroyed or heat treated.

Describe the system for compensation to owners. Indicate also how improper implementation of biosecurity measures can affect the payment of compensation (max. 32000 chars):

The owner of the animals submits a payment application to the county government office. The county investigate the submitted bills and the biosecurity measures on the farm (going-over). The application is reviewed, the value of the animals is calculated upon data provided by the Poultry Product Board. If the animals are slaughtered, the salvage value (if any) is taken into account in calculating the compensation sum. If the documentation is complete, the compensation is payed from state budget within 90 days from the date of submission. In insurance payments the CA is not involved.

17. Please describe the official procedure to test, after the depopulation of an infected flock, the **efficacy of the disinfection** of a poultry house (No of samples, No of tests, samples taken, etc).

(max. 32000 chars):

If the flock is infected by not relevant Salmonella spp., the examination of the efficacy of the disinfection has to check by the FBO. If the flock is infected by relevant Salmonella (SE, ST, SI, SV, SH), the examination of the efficacy of the disinfection has to check by the CA. The CA shall authorise the restocking of the airspace concerned only if the effektivitás of desinfection was verified by environmental swab samples test negative in the laboratory. The samples shall consist of:

three pairs of boot swabs, representing each about 20 % of the area of the poultry house; the swabs may be pooled for analysis into a minimum of two pools; or

at least one pair of boot swabs representing the whole area of the poultry house and an additional dust sample collected from multiple places throughout the poultry house from surfaces with visible presence of dust; one or several moistened fabric swab(s) of at least 900 cm2 surface area in total must be used to collect the dust sample.

#### B. General information

1. Structure and organisation of the **Competent Authorities** (from the central CA to the local CAs)

Short description and/or reference to a document presenting this description (max. 32000 chars):

The Central Competent Authority for official controls over implementation of the Salmonella National Control Programmes is the National Food Chain Safety Office which is under the Ministry of Rural Development.

As of 1 January 2011, regional administrative bodies have been integrated into County Government Offices and as of 1 January 2013 administrative districts and districts offices were created as units of the government offices. These offices are under the administrative direction of the Ministry for Public Administration and Justice; however, the county and district authorities are under the functional authority and the technical guidance of the Central Competent Authority.

The FBO sample-results are reported by the Laboratory following each test to the district Competent Authority and to the veterinarian of the holding, in case of positive results also to the FBO and the county Competent Authority. The laboratories testing FBO samples send every quarter of the year a summary statement about the tests carried out to the District Competent Authority (DCA). The FBO does not have a reporting obligation.

There are semi-annual and annual reports in Hungary in the framework of the Salmonella National Control Programme (SNCP). The District Competent Authority (DCA) reports to the County Government Office (CGO) and the CGO reports to the Central Competent Authority (CCA) in accordance with Commission Decision 2014/288/EU.

#### 2. Legal basis for the implementation of the programme

(max. 32000 chars):

Decree came in force on the 6th on January 2010 (Decree 180/2009. (XII. 29.) of the Minister of Agriculture and Rural Development on specific rules of protection against salmonellosis (hereinafter: "Decree") in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 and Regulation (EU) No 517/2011.

3. Give a short summary of the outcome of the **monitoring of the target Salmonella serovars** (SE, ST) implemented in accordance with Article 4 of Directive 2003/99/EC (evolution of the prevalence values based on the monitoring of animal populations or subpopulations or of the food chain).

(max. 32000 chars):

The monitoring of the target Salmonella serovars (SE, ST) is in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 and Regulation (EU) No 517/2011 Annex point 2.

#### 4. System for the registration of holdings and identification of flocks

(max. 32000 chars):

All poultry farms have to be registered according to Decree no. 119/2007. (X.18) of MARD on keeping places, breeding farms and national registration system of their data if they meet the relevant criteria: All commercial poultry farms have to be registered:

- which are considered as large-scale holdings according to a different piece of registration (that means: 2000 fattenings or 500 other adult poultry)
- which sends poultry directly to the slaughterhouse (included laying flocks at hte end of production)
- which have a slaughtering permit for small producers.

Every poultry holding participating in the Salmonella National Control Programme (SNCP) has a registration/identification number, which number is given electronically by the Central Database at the time the FBO announces its holding at the District Competent Authority. The system of registration is based on Ministerial Decree no. 119/2007. (X.18) on keeping places, breeding farms and national registration system of their data.

The flock identification number derives from this identification number of the holding followed by the number of the airspace inside the poultry house and the date of the introduction/colonization of the poultry.

The laying farms of Gallus gallus over 50 poultry have to be registered according to Ministerial Decree No. 74/2003. These registration numbers are on eggs.

It was 540 samples in 2021, 12 were positive.

#### 5. System to monitor the implementation of the programme.

(max. 32000 chars):

All holdings must be registered at the district veterinary office. The official district veterinary officer keeps and updates the record of holdings participating the programme. The official district veterinary officer also declares the status of the holdings according to their actual serological status.

The 19 Directorates of Food Chain Safety and Animal Health of County Government Offices (formerly named: Food Chain Safety and Animal Health of County Agricultural Office) coordinate and supervise the programme in their territory. They are required to annually report the actual status of the programme to the Animal Health and Animal Welfare Directorate of the National Food Chain Safety Office (formerly named: Central Agricultural Office).

The NFCSO sends excel sheets to the County Government Offices (flocks and animals, measures taken in case of SE/ST positive flock, data of vaccinations, data of compensations, official sampling) and to the laboratories (samples, confirmatory samples, test of antimicrobials, test to verify the efficiency of

disinfection) according to the Regulation No 288/2014 twice per year to collect data from the implementation of the eradication programme.

Name: National Food Chain Safety Office Animal Health and Animal Welfare Directorate

Name in Hungarian: Nemzeti Élelmiszerlánc-biztonsági Hivatal

Állategészségügyi és Állatvédelmi Igazgatóság Address: H-1024 Budapest, Keleti Károly str. 24.

## C. Targets

## 1 Targets related to flocks official monitoring

## 1.1 Targets on laboratory tests on official samples for year:

2023

Type of the test (description)	Target population	Number of planned tests
Bacteriological detection test	Laying flocks of Gallus gallus	600
Serotyping	Laying flocks of Gallus gallus	30
Antimicrobial detection test	Laying flocks of Gallus gallus	50
Test for verification of the efficacy of disinfection	Laying flocks of Gallus gallus	30

## 1.2 Targets on official sampling of flocks for year: 2023

Type of the test (description)	Rearing flocks	Adult flocks
Total No of flocks (a)	200	1 100
No of flocks in the programme	200	1 100
No of flocks planned to be checked (b)	200	1 100
No of flock visits to take official samples (c)	0	300
No of official samples taken	0	900
Target serovars (d)	SE + ST	SE + ST
Possible No of flocks infected by target serovars	0	11
Possible No of flocks to be depopulated	0	11
Total No of birds to be slaughtered/culled	0	100 000
Total No of eggs to be destroyed	Text	200 000
Total No of eggs to be heat treated	Text	0

- (a) Including eligible and non eligible flocks
- (b) A checked flock is a flock where at least one official sampling visit will take place. A flock shall be counted only once even if it was visited serveral times.
- (c) Each visit for the purpose of taking official samples shall be counted. Several visits on the same flock for taking official samples shall be counted separately.
- (d) Salmonella Enteritidis and Salmonella Typhimurium = SE + ST Salmonella Enteritidis, Typhimurium, Hadar, Infantis, Virchow = SE+ ST + SH +SI + SV

#### 2.1 Targets on vaccination for year: **2023**

Type of the test (description)	Target on vaccination
Number of flocks in the Salmonella programme	1 300
Number of flocks expected to be vaccinated	300
Number of birds expected to be vaccinated	7 000 000
Number of doses expected to be administered	20 000 000

#### E. Financial information

1. Identification of the implementing entities - financial circuits/flows

Identify and describe the entities which will be in charge of implementing the eligible measures planned in this programme which costs will constitute the reimbursment/payment claim to the EU. Describe the financial flows/circuits followed.

Each of the following paragraphs (from a to e) shall be filled out if EU cofinancing is requested for the related measure.

a) Implementing entities - **sampling**: who perform the official sampling? Who pays? (e.g. authorised private vets perform the sampling and are paid by the regional veterinary services (state budget); sampling equipment is provided by the private laboratory testing the samples which includes the price in the invoice which is paid by the local state veterinary services (state budget))

Samples from birds are taken by official veterinarians or private vets licenced with official rights. The cost of sampling is paid from state budget.

b) Implementing entities - **testing**: who performs the testing of the official samples? Who pays? (e.g. regional public laboratories perform the testing of official samples and costs related to this testing are entirely paid by the state budget)

Testing of the official samples is performed by the laboratories designated by NRL and is financed from state budget.

c) Implementing entities - **compensation**: who performs the compensation? Who pays? (e.g. compensation is paid by the central level of the state veterinary services, or compensation is paid by an insurance fund fed by compulsory farmers contribution)

The owner of the animals submits a payment application to the county government office, where the application is reviewed, and compensation is payed from state budget.

- d) Implementing entities **vaccination**: who provides the vaccine and who performs the vaccination? Who pays the vaccine? Who pays the vaccinator?
- (e.g. farmers buy their vaccine to the private vets, send the paid invoices to the local state veterinary services which reimburse the farmers of the full amount and the vaccinator is paid by the regional state veterinary services)

The FBO buy the vaccines and the private veterinary perform the vaccination. The owner of the animals submits a payment application to the county government office and enclose a declaration by the private vet of number of vaccinated animals, using doses of vaccines and the date of vaccination. After the application is reviewed, and compensation is payed from state budget.

e) Implementing entities - **other essential measures**: who implement this measure? Who provide the equipment/ service? Who pays?

The feed fed to infected flock shall be tested without delay in accordance with the special legislation on the manufacturing, placing on the market and use of feed, except when day-old birds test positive. The sampling is made by the official vet and is payed from state budget.

2. Source of funding of eligible measures	
All eligible measures for which cofinancing is requested and reimbursment will be claimed are fina	nced by public funds.
$\boxtimes yes$	
□no	
3. Additional measures in exceptional and justified cases	
In the "Guidelines for the Union co-funded veterinary programmes", it is indicated that in exception cases, additional necessary measures can be proposed by the Member States in their application.	onal and duly justified
f you introduced these type of measures in this programme, for each of them, please provide detailed technical ju ustification of their cost:	ıstification and also

#### **Attachments**

#### **IMPORTANT**:

- 1) The more files you attach, the longer it takes to upload them .
- 2) This attachment files should have one of the format listed here: jpg, jpeg, tiff, tif, xls, xlsx, doc, docx, ppt, pptx, bmp, pna, pdf.
- 3) The total file size of the attached files should not exceed 2 500Kb (+- 2.5 Mb). You will receive a message while attaching when you try to load too much.
- 4) IT CAN TAKE **SEVERAL MINUTES TO UPLOAD** ALL THE ATTACHED FILES. Don't interrupt the uploading by closing the pdf and wait until you have received a Submission Number!
- 5) Only use letters from a-z and numbers from 1-10 in the attachment names, otherwise the submission of the data will not work.

#### List of all attachments

	Attachment name	File will be saved as (only a-z and 0-9 and):	File size
		Total size of attachments :	